UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,641	12/02/2005	Tatsuya Miyoshi	05825/HG	7279
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			EXAMINER	
			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/559,641	MIYOSHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael La Villa	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4,9-18,20 and 22-24 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 9-18, 20, and 22-24 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/559,641 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 2. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9-18, 20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Regarding Claims 1 and 18, the claimed surface-treatment coating is described as being formed by applying and drying a surface-treatment coating composition that contains ingredients (a) through (c). No defined amount of ingredient (a), or the resin solid content of ingredient (a), in the surface treatment coating composition is provided, and so the characterization of amounts of ingredients (b) and (c), defined in terms of amounts of resin solid matter in the water-epoxy resin dispersion, is indefinite in terms of defining what relative amounts of ingredients (a) through (c) are present in the coating composition. Analogous indefiniteness affects dependent claims that also refer to ingredient amounts by reference to the resin solid matter in the water-epoxy resin dispersion. See, for example, Claims 3, 4, and 20. With respect to Claim 1, the specification of ingredients following the phrase "on the surface coating:" is awkward since what follows is a description of the coating composition ingredients, not the coating. With respect to Claims 1 and 18, the description of ingredient (a), in general terms, as being a reaction of (A), (B), and . . . "compound" structured by (C) is

Application/Control Number: 10/559,641 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

awkward since one would expect the third reaction component to be "C", not a compound structured as "(C)".

5. Regarding Claims 22-24, it is unclear what is the antecedent basis of the phrase "active-hydrogen containing compound" since the previous claim 1 appears to refer to two different compounds of this variety and previous Claim 16 refers to three such compounds. With respect to Claims 22 and 23, although these compounds may not be hydrazine derivatives, the rejection is appropriate to avoid a possibly improperly broadening claim.

Response to Amendment

- In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, applicant traverses the section 112, second paragraph rejection of the Office Action mailed on 9 October 2007. Rejection is withdrawn.
- 7. In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, applicant traverses the section 102 rejection over Miyoshi and the section 103 rejection over Miyoshi of the Office Action mailed on 9 October 2007. Applicant's priority document JP 2004-146334, having a filing date of 17 May 2004, discloses the claimed invention. Since the filing date precedes the publication date of Miyoshi, Miyoshi is unavailable prior art. Therefore, rejections are withdrawn.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/559,641 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

(571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael La Villa/ Michael La Villa Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 22 May 2008